## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

| JEREMY LEE JOHNSON,  | )                           |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Plaintiff,   | )                           |
| v.   | ) No. 1:23-cv-01983-JPH-MJD |
| HENRY COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, RICK MCCORKLE, TINA FOX, DEBRA ELLINGTON, ADVANCED CORRECTIONAL HEALTH CARE, INC., JOHN HEFLIN Dr., | ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )     |
| Defendants.  | )<br>)                      |

## ORDER ON MULTIPLE PENDING MOTIONS

Currently, there are sixteen pending motions in this case related to non-dispositive matters, primarily discovery issues, and a summary judgment motion filed by defendants Henry County Sheriff's Department, Rick McCorkle, and Tina Fox (Henry County Defendants) and two summary judgment-related motions. The Court has also sua sponte reconsidered Plaintiff's motion for counsel and is in the process of attempting to recruit counsel to represent Plaintiff for the remainder of this action.

In order to facilitate that process, the Court now **DENIES without prejudice** the following motions related to discovery disputes in this matter: Dkts. [111], [112], [121], [129], [141], [142], [150], [153], [162], [166], [168], [169], and [178]. These denials do not preclude recruited counsel for Plaintiff from refiling one or more motions related to these issues.

Filed 12/16/25 Page 2 of 3 PageID #:

The Court also **GRANTS** Plaintiff's motions to stay proceedings, dkt. [174], and motion to postpone ruling on summary judgment, dkt. [193]. The Court also **GRANTS** defendants' motion for extension of time to file motion for summary judgment, dkt. [175]. Notably, this motion was filed by all defendants in this action, but the Henry County Defendants thereafter filed their summary judgment before this motion was ruled on. All parties' motions for extension of time referred to the need to resolve outstanding discovery issues in this matter. It appears to the Court that resolving any outstanding and potentially relevant discovery issues may affect whether any of the parties would move for summary judgment, and/or the designation of evidence in support of or in opposition to any such motion. Therefore, the Court also now **DENIES without prejudice** the Henry County Defendants' motion for summary judgment, dkt. [180], and also **DENIES without prejudice** Plaintiff's motion to strike the Henry County Defendants' designation of evidence, dkt. [197], and his motion for leave to file a surreply, dkt. [199].

After counsel is recruited for Plaintiff, the Magistrate Judge is requested to schedule a telephonic status conference to discuss further proceedings in this matter, including but not limited to a partial reopening of discovery if necessary and establishment of a new dispositive motion deadline.

SO ORDERED.

Date: 12/16/2025

James Patrick Hanlon James Patrick Hanlon United States District Judge

Southern District of Indiana

## Distribution:

All ECF-registered counsel of record via email

JEREMY LEE JOHNSON 114749 PUTNAMVILLE - CF PUTNAMVILLE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY Electronic Service Participant – Court Only

Magistrate Judge Dinsmore's Chambers