

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
EVANSVILLE DIVISION

BRODERICK VANCE BULLOCK,)
)
Plaintiff,)

v.)

No. 3:25-cv-00101-RLY-CSW

NURSE PRACTITION,)
CLASSIFICATION SUPU,)
SUPU STAFF,)
REGIONAL DIAGNOSTIC CENTER,)
DENNIS REAGLE,)
JESSICA HEWLETT,)
CENTURION NURSE PRACTITIONER,)
DOCTOR CENTURION,)
)
Defendants.)

_____)
CRAIG GRAGE,)
CENTURION HEALTH OF INDIANA, LLC,)
)
Interested Parties.)

Order Granting Motion to Add Documents, Screening Amended Complaint, and Directing Further Proceedings

Plaintiff Broderick Bullock asserts claims for damages against the defendants based on injuries he suffered as a prisoner at Plainfield Correctional Facility – Reception Diagnostic Center ("Plainfield"). After filing an amended complaint, Mr. Bullock filed a motion to add documents to his amended complaint, dkt. 48. The court **GRANTS** Mr. Bullock's motion, dkt. [48], and treats the documents attached to the motion as part of the amended complaint.¹ Because Mr. Bullock is a "prisoner," this court must screen the amended complaint before service on the defendants. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), (c).

¹ See *Williamson v. Curran*, 714 F.3d 432, 436 (7th Cir. 2013) (the court may consider "documents that are attached to the complaint, documents that are central to the complaint and referred to in it.").

I. Screening Standard

When screening a complaint, the court must dismiss any portion that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim for relief, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). To determine whether the complaint states a claim, the court applies the same standard as when addressing a motion to dismiss under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). *See Schillinger v. Kiley*, 954 F.3d 990, 993 (7th Cir. 2020). Under that standard, a complaint must include "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). The Court construes *pro se* complaints liberally and holds them to a "less stringent standard than pleadings drafted by lawyers." *Cesal v. Moats*, 851 F.3d 714, 720 (7th Cir. 2017).

II. The Amended Complaint

Mr. Bullock's factual allegations are accepted as true at the pleading stage. *See Lisby v. Henderson*, 74 F.4th 470, 472 (7th Cir. 2023). Mr. Bullock makes constitutional claims against three defendants: (1) Warden Craig Grage; (2) Dr. Paul A. Talbot; and (3) Nurse Practitioner Emily Schad. Dkt. 47 (Amended Complaint).

Mr. Bullock arrived at Plainfield on March 14, 2025, after being transferred from Marion County Jail. *Id.* at 2. Mr. Bullock was assigned to B-1-2L. *Id.* After a week, Warden Grage started to put new arrivals, including Mr. Bullock, in blue "stack-a-bunks" on 3 range because of overcrowding. *Id.* Mr. Bullock was moved outside of his cell, made to sleep on a stack-a-bunk, and his cell was converted into a bathroom for 20 new arrivals. *Id.* This sleeping and bathroom arrangement caused Mr. Bullock pain and suffering because he is disabled and has a metal plate

in his right ankle. *Id.* at 3. Dr. Talbot and Nurse Practitioner Schad knew that Mr. Bullock was disabled because they were informed during intake about his prior documented medical conditions, and he specifically told them that he could not sleep on the floor. *Id.* at 3; *see* dkt. 47-1. Even still, these defendants "removed [Mr. Bullock] from a bottom bunk pass and put [him] in a stack-a-bunk." *Id.* Mr. Bullock was unable to get in and out of the bed without pain, and at times, he had to use the bathroom on himself when he could not get out of his bed quick enough. *Id.* at 2, 4.

III. Discussion of Claims

The claims which **shall proceed** are the following: (1) Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference to medical needs claims against Dr. Talbot and Nurse Practitioner Schad; (2) Eighth Amendment conditions-of-confinement claims against Warden Grage.

This summary of claims includes all the viable claims identified by the Court. All other claims have been dismissed. If Mr. Bullock believes that additional claims were alleged in the complaint, but not identified by the court, he shall have **through February 23, 2026**, in which to file a motion to reconsider the screening order.

IV. Conclusion and Service of Process

Mr. Bullock's motion to add documents, dkt. [48], is **GRANTED**.

The **clerk is directed** pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3) to issue process to the defendants in the manner specified by Rule 4(d). Process shall consist of the amended complaint filed on December 8, 2025, dkt. [47], applicable forms (Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Service of Summons and Waiver of Service of Summons), and this Order.

The **clerk is directed** to add "Craig Grage," "Dr. Paul Talbot," and "Emily Schad," as defendants on the docket.

The **clerk is directed to remove** the following as defendants on the docket: "Doctor Centurion," "Centurion Nurse Practitioner," "Dennis Reagle," "Jessica Hewlett," "Regional Diagnostic Center," "Supu Staff," "Classification Supu," and "Nurse Practitioner."


The **clerk is directed** to serve the Indiana Department of Correction and Centurion employees electronically.

Defendants Dr. Paul Talbot and Nurse Practitioner Emily Schad are identified as employees of Centurion. A copy of this Order and the process documents shall also be served on Centurion electronically. Centurion is **ORDERED** to provide the full name and last known home address of any defendant who does not waive service if they have such information. This information shall be filed *ex parte*.

Nothing in this Order prohibits the filing of a proper motion pursuant to Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 1/21/2026


RICHARD L. YOUNG, JUDGE
United States District Court
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

BRODERICK VANCE BULLOCK
111916
BRANCHVILLE - CF
BRANCHVILLE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
Electronic Service Participant – Court Only

All ECF-Registered Counsel of Record

Electronic service to Indiana Department of Correction:
Warden Craig Grage

(All at Plainfield Correctional Facility – Reception Diagnostic Center)

Electronic service to Centurion
Dr. Paul Talbot
Nurse Practitioner Emily Schad